

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

UNIFIED DATA SERVICES, LLC, *et al.*,

Case No. 2:19-cv-00698-JCM-VCF

Plaintiffs,

## ORDER

V.

UNITED STATES FEDERAL TRADE  
COMMISSION.

Defendant.

15 Presently before the court is defendant United States Federal Trade Commission's  
16 ("FTC") motion to extend. (ECF No. 24). Plaintiffs American Technology Services, LLC;  
17 Compliance Consultants, LLC; Unified Data Services, LLC; and Richard Zeitlin (collectively  
18 "plaintiffs") filed a response. (ECF No. 25).

19        The FTC requests that the court extend the deadline to file a reply in support of the FTC's  
20 motion to dismiss (ECF No. 23) by fifteen days. (ECF No. 24). The FTC represents that it  
21 cannot timely file a reply due to imminent briefing deadlines in two other cases and staffing  
22 constraints. *Id.* Plaintiffs argue that a fifteen-day extension would be prejudicial because the  
23 FTC intends to move to stay discovery pending its motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 25). Plaintiffs  
24 contend that a seven-day extension would be sufficient and would not needlessly delay  
25 discovery. *Id.*

26 The parties have yet to commence discovery and it is unlikely that granting FTC's  
27 request will substantially delay adjudication of its motion to dismiss. Further, the FTC's staff  
28 constraints and workload constitute good cause for an extension. Therefore, the court will grant

1 the FTC's motion to extend.

2 Accordingly,

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the FTC's motion to  
4 extend (ECF No. 24) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the FTC shall have until August 2, 2019, to file a reply  
6 in support of its motion to dismiss.

7 DATED THIS 16<sup>th</sup> day of July 2019.

8  
9   
10 JAMES C. MAHAN  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28